# SALMONELLA IDENTIFICATION BY COMPARING BACTERIOLOGICAL AND MOLECULAR METHODS

## Zorița Maria COCORA, Laurențiu Marcel PANDELE, Petru Mihai COCORA, Ioan ȚIBRU

Faculty of Veterinary Medicine Timisoara, Department of Veterinary Hygiene, cod 300645, 119 Calea Aradului, Timisoara, Romania

E-mail: zoritzacocora@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

This present study attempts comparing classical method ISO 6579:2002 with a quick, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the identification and isolation of Salmonella carrier pigs without clinical signs by collecting feces and sanitation tests on the surface of carcasses.

After analyzing the samples (n=51) by the two methods (Bacteriological and PCR) has resulted a higher number of positive samples (43.13%) by PCR, compared to the bacteriological method, where only 35.29% were positive samples. After sequencing and introduction into the gene pool (GeneBank), it was found that the most common serovars isolated from the feces were S. Typhimurium, S. Newport, from the surface of carcasses were S. Typhimurium, S. Typhi, S. Newport and S. Enteritidis serovars, and on the surface of working equipment S. Typhimurium and S. Agona.

Keywords: Identification, isolation, method, pigs carriers, Salmonella spp., serovars.

## INTRODUCTION

The quick identification of infection depends on the correct identification of pathogens and for prevention is required an adequate monitoring. Detailed identification and characterization of this large group of bacteria is a difficult task which requires a combination of different approaches, and for confirmation it appeals to a reference laboratory (N.G. et al., 1996).

To prevent food contamination, foodborne outbreaks and for a quick diagnosis is necessary the use of rapid methods (Hein et. al, 2006; N.G. et al., 1996). So far, the bacteriological method was considered to be the "gold standard" for the isolation and identification of pathogens in food.

However, the cultivation of microorganisms methods requires high volume of work and time, and is not suitable for routine testing of large numbers of samples (Bohachuk et al., 2007; Klerks et al., 2004; Myint et al., 2006). Therefore, the desire to make a quick diagnose and have a favorable cost-benefit throughout the food chain, finding quick ways continues to be a major concern for the industry and public health authorities. Because of these requirements, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has become a method increasingly used in the last decade (Malorny et al., 2004; Myint et. Al., 2006; Wolffs et al., 2006), PCR test is a rapid, sensitive and specific detection of pathogens (Bohachuk et al., 2007; Malorny et al., 2004).

PCR molecular method, after 1988, experienced an explosive growth, being the reaction which has revolutionized molecular biology of nucleic acids. The technique is based on a key enzyme in the reaction called Taq polymerase, a polymerase stable at high temperatures ( $\sim$  94 ° C) in which molecules of DNA, usually double-stranded, dissociate, and thus each strand can be copied.

This technique is important for fast identification of the *Salmonella* spp. carrier from pigs, especially when there are free of *Salmonella* spp. groups, or when it is desired to

control the efficiency of the cleaning and disinfection procedures in a short time.

The question of whether this method can be used in pig farms and feces samples, dust, and so on, which are naturally contaminated with an unknown amount of *Salmonella* spp. containing a large amount of bacterial DNA.

Based on the subject was considered appropriate to conduct investigations of molecular biology and the conditions of our country, in order to make new contributions to the knowledge of Salmonella present in Romania.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted from December 2012-July 2013 on a total of 51 samples, of which 15 were number of fecal samples, 29 sanitation samples collected from the surface of carcasses from several stages of the technological flow and 7 samples from the equipment.

The samples were analyzed in the Hygiene laboratory using two methods in parallel, SR EN ISO 6579:2002 and polymerase chain reaction (PCR), after isolation and identification the samples were sent to sequencing.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Analyzing the samples by the two methods (PCR and bacteriological), has resulted a higher number of positive samples (43.13%) by PCR, than by the bacteriology method, where was achieved only 35.29% positive samples. The results could be attributed to active microorganisms (*Salmonella* spp.) because the presence of DNA was revealed, but they could not grow on culture media (7).

Table 1 illustrates the results of comparing the two methods, PCR molecular method and bacteriological method, of the 51 samples analyzed.

Isolation of *Salmonella* spp. from feces by bacteriological method can be hampered because of the relatively small number of *Salmonella* spp. microorganism in feces (Davies et. al 2000).

Tabel 1 Comparison of PCR and ISO

Samples	Nr.	PCR		ISO	
		n(+)	%	n(+)	%
Feces	15	9	60	10	66.66
Cases	29	11	37.93	7	23.12
Equipment	7	2	28.58	1	14.28
TOTAL	51	22	43.13	18	35.29

By PCR analyze of InvA Salmonella Gene using inv A-139 and A-141 primers has shown the presence of clear light bands 284 bp. (Figure 1). The size of the amplification products suggested that microorganisms genetically characterized belong to Salmonella's gender. According to the literature by using inv A primers S. Thiphymurium serovars, S. Enteritidis, S. Agona, S. Typhi, S. Cubana can be highlighted (Eleni et al., 2006; Erik et al., 2007; Ohud et al., 2012; Sandra Maria Ferraz Castagna et al., 2005).



Figure 1 Molecular diagnosis of the *Salmonella* gender by PCR-based on the of amplification *invA Salmonella* gene

After analyzing the samples sent to sequencing and introduction them into the gene pool (GeneBank), it was found that the most common serovars isolated from feces and identical to PCR in 96% are:

-Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Newport and Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium DT104.

On the surface of carcasses from slaughter pigs the most frequently isolated serovars in 99% and 100% from the gene bank were:-*Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar *Newport*, *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica*  serovar *Typhi*, *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar *Enteritidis* and *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar *Typhimurium* var. 5.

The analysis of the samples taken from the working surface of the equipment in terms of the PCR are the same at a rate of 99% isolates of the gene bank:

-Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium and Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Agona.

Following this study, it appeared that the most common serovars were: *S. Thiphymurium, S. Agona, S. Enteriditis, S. Newport,* which corresponds with literature data (Rostagno et al., 2006).

Similar results were obtained by Chantong et al., published by Sujate Chaunchom (2003), which isolated *S. Rissen* (45.9%), *S. Typhimurium* (10.8%) in the slaughterhouse *S. Stanley* (11.7%).

Following a study made by EFSA (2008), in 2006-2007, on a total of 387 frames, regarding the frequency of isolated serovars in the Member States, *S. Typhimurium* was the most common serovar identified on the surface of pig carcasses, representing 49.4%, followed by the *S. Derby* (24.3%) followed by *S. Infantis, S. Brandenburg* and *S. Bredeney* (3.4%, 2.1% and 1.8%).

*S. Typhimurium* was the most frequently isolated serovar in 10 Member States. *S. Derby* is the second serovar isolated in seven Member States, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Ireland, Latvia and the UK.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Until now, the bacteriological method is referred to as "gold standard" for the isolation and identification of *Salmonella* spp. in various products.

- However, this method requires a longer time to obtain results and a high workload.

- This method is not suitable for examining an increased number of samples.

From 51 samples examined by both methods, we obtained a total of 22 (43.13%) positive samples by molecular methods and a number of 18 (5.29%) by bacteriological method.

- From the results obtained in this study, molecular methods (PCR) may be used to

identify faster the *Salmonella* spp. microorganisms taken from different pig samples. Most frequently identified serovars were:

- S. Typhimurium and S. Newport in feces;

- S. Typhimurium, S. Typhi, S.Newport and S. Enteritidis on the surface of carcasses;

- S. Typhimurium and S. Agona on work equipment.

#### REFERENCES

- Bohachuk, V.,M., Gensler, G.E., Mcfall, M.E., King, R.K., Renter, D.G., 2007. A Real-Time PCR assay for the detection of Salmonella in a wide variety of food and food-animal matrices. Journal of Food Protection 70, 1080–1087.
- Davies, P.R., Turkson, P.K., Funk, J.A., Nichols, M.A., Ladely, S.R., Fedorka-Cray, P.J. 200. Comparison of methods for isolating Salmonella bacteria from faeces of naturally infected pigs. J. Appl. Microbiol. 89, 169-177
- Eleni, G., Danilo, M.A., Wong, L.F., Mary, E.P. 2006. Web-basedsurveillance and global Salmonella distribution, 2000-2002 from Medscape Today: http://www.medscape.com/viewarticle/525115),
- Erik Eriksson, Anna Aspan. 2007. Comparison of culture, ELISA and PCR techniques for salmonella detection in faecal samples for cattle, pig and poultry BMC Vet Res.; 3: 21
- Hein, I., Flekna, G., Krassnig, M., WAGNER, M. 2006. Real-time PCR for the detection of Salmonella spp. in food: An alternative approach to a conventional PCR system suggested by the FOOD-PCR project. Journal of Microbiological Methods 66, 538-547
- Klerks, M.M.C., Zijlstra, Van Bruggen, A.H.C. 2004. Comparison of real-time PCR methods for detection of Salmonella enterica and Escherichia coli O157:H7 and quantification using a general internal amplification control. J. Micro. Methods 59, 337-349.
- Lense, J., Berthet, S., Binard, S., Rouxel, A., Humbert, F. 2000. Changes in culturability and virulence of S. Typhimurium during long-term starvation under desiccating conditions. International Journal of Food Microbiology 60, 195-203.
- Malorny, B., Paccassoni, E., Fach, P., Bunge, C., Martin, A., Helmuth, R. 2004. Diagnostic real-time PCR for detection of Salmonella in food. Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 70, 7046–7052.
- Myint, M.S., Johnson, Y.J., Tablante, N.L., Heckert, R.A. 2006. The effect of pre-enrichment protocol on the sensitivity and specificity of PCR for detection of naturally contaminated Salmonella in raw poultry compared to conventional culture. Food Microbiol. 23, 599-604.
- N.G., S.P., C.O., Tsui, D., Roberts, P.Y., Chau, M.H., N.G. 1996. Detection and serogroup differentiation of Salmonella spp. in food within

30 hours by enrichment-immunoassay with a T6 monoclonal antibody capture enzyme-linked immune sorbent assay. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62, 2294-2302.

- Ohud, M. Al-Harthi, Eman, Halawani, M., Hayam, Abdelkader, S. 2012. Detection of Salmonella strains in clinical samples from Saudi Arabia by invA and hilA polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based assays African Journal of Microbiology Research Vol. 6(25): 5410-5416.
- Rostagno, M.H., Hurd, H.S., Mckean, J.D. 2006. Prevalence of Salmonella enterica and Salmonella enterica serotype Typhimurium in swine at slaughter Proceedings of the 19th IPVS Congress, Copenhagen, Denmark, Volume 1.
- Sandra Maria Ferraz Castagna, Monika M., Macagnan, M., Rodenbusch, C.R., Cláudio, W., Canal, Marisa Cardoso. 2005. Detection of Salmonella sps. from porcine origin: a comparison between

a PCR method and standard microbiological techniques, Brazilian Journal of Microbiology 36, 373-377.

- Sujate, Chaunchom 2003. Assessment of Salmonella contamination using an antibody ELISA test and a PCR technique in pigs at slaughter and on farm level, Thailanda, 1-137
- Wolffs, P.F.G., Glencross, K., Thibaudeau, R., Griffiths, M.W. 2006. Direct quantitation and detection of Salmonellae in biological samples without enrichment, using two-step filtration and realtime PCR. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 72, 3896-3900.
- \*\*\*EFSA 2008. European food safety authority Report of the Task Force on Zoonoses Data Collection on the Analysis of the baseline survey on the prevalence of Salmonella in slaughter pigs, in the EU, 2006-2007 [1] - Part A: Salmonella prevalence estimates.