Abstract

In Romania, in the orthopedic veterinary practice, splinting/casting was considered an acceptable treatment of some types of fractures, namely, stable, closed reductible fractures. The greatest advantage of this type of asset is the biological osteosynthesis, favored by indirect reduction, preservation of the blood supply of all fragments, including small ones, which easily can turn into bone sequesters when regional vasculature is impaired. External fixation provided by casts and splints has several significant advantages compared with internal fixation methods: no need for implants, low postoperative infection rate, minimal disruptions of the fracture hematoma and the low cost of the procedure. Complications that can occur, usually due to improper selection of cases, inaccurate application technique and/or poor postoperative management can be minimized by using the minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis, maintaining the pros of bone healing.
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INTRODUCTION

The biological method of fracture treatment limits the effect of rigid stability and highlights the rapid healing due to conservation of soft tissues adjacent to the fracture site (Palmer, 1999).

When preoperative radiographs show the impossibility of perfect anatomical reduction, priority changes from an absolute bone reconstruction to an acceptable spatial alignment and blood resource preservation (Aron et al., 1995; Hulse, 1997; Johnson et al., 1998; Palmer and Aron, 1996).

In this paper, we intend to present a statistical report of orthopedic cases in our clinic, to mention the main methods used in recent years, with advantages and disadvantages, to highlight the latest trends in the field of veterinary orthopedics and put in balance two methods of biological osteosynthesis: casting/splinting (more in this paper) and MIPO – Minimally Invasive plate Osteosynthesis. Having completed all the above objectives, we want to highlight the importance of veterinary orthopedics and the need to improve treatment techniques.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To achieve our aim, records from 2007 to 2011 in our clinic were considered for the study and statistically analyzed using basic methods and indices, registering the types of treatment, and complications during monitoring.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Between 2007 and 2011, we received for consultation and/or treatment 5987 patients, of which 20% aimed the orthopedic field. 9% were diagnosed with fractures, 6% with fractures of the long bones of the appendicular skeleton (humerus, radius and ulna, femur, tibia and fibula).

Basically, the average was 1197 ± 248 clinical cases per year, of which 72 ± 14 were diagnosed with fractures of long bones (Tabel 1).
Table 1. Summary of records taken into study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Orthopedics</th>
<th>Fractures</th>
<th>Long bones fractures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>1418</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1423</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1276</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5987</td>
<td>1201</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StDev</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 359 cases representing fractures of long bones of the appendicular skeleton, 58% received a biological osteosynthesis method (mostly splinting/casting, also external fixators, interlocking nails inserted percutaneously) and the remaining 42% - open reduction internal fixation method (Table 2).

Table 2. Summary of categories of treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>BOS¹</th>
<th>ORIF²</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

External cooptation provided by casting has several significant advantages compared with internal fixation methods: no need for implants, postoperative infection rate is minimal, no disruptions in fracture outbreak and low cost of procedure (Oakley, 1999; Tomlinson, 1991). Complications that can occur, usually due to improper selection of cases, incorrect application technique and/or poor postoperative management, include: delayed union, malunion, nonunion, joint laxity/ankylosis, dermatitis, soft tissue swelling and pressure sores (the term sometimes downplay the severity of injuries - "pressure ulcers") (Tomlinson, 1991; Oakley, 1999; Weinstein and Ralphs, 2004; Campbell, 2006).

Indications of external fixation by casting is limited to soft tissue injuries - minor pinpoint wounds, fractures that occurred within 8 hours and stable fractures of the distal radius and ulna and the extremities of the fore- and hind limbs (Piermattei et al., 2006).

Casts and splints are contraindicated in the treatment of distal diaphyseal fractures of mini and toy breeds because of the high incidence of nonunions and also, in the treatment of giant dogs breeds fractures of as unique method of fixations (Toombs, 2005).

Application of casts/splints requires closed reduction of fracture, under fluoroscopy. Closed reduction is typically obtained and maintained by applying traction and contetraction movements, ideally, with minimal soft tissue trauma (Piermattei et al., 2006).

Open reduction method aimes especially fractures located distal to the elbow and stifle, where soft tissues are not an impediment to assess the degree of reduction by palpation. casts have the greatest applicability on these sections. Indirect reduction has a higher success rate in smaller animals and in those with long limbs compared to large breeds, to chondrodystrophic or those with very well highlighted muscular mass (Piermattei et al., 2006).

Indirect reduction should be performed as soon as the state of the animal allows a safe general anesthesia because any delay increases muscle spasm and thus the difficulty of reduction. It is not recommended to wait for decreasing of the swelling as this will happen only after the local circulation will normalize. Primary, the contracture is originated by the muscles is likely to answer to physical traction, general anesthesia and muscle relaxants. After 2-3 days, inflammation and proliferative changes produce a permanent contracture and difficult to overcome (Piermattei et al., 2006).

Applying a cast/splint is often seen as a minor procedure. However, if the fracture is not properly aligned, if the bandage is not applied properly or if the postoperative care is not appropriate, major complications may occur with severe implications on the functionality of the limb (angular deformities) or even amputation (Altizer, 2004).

The most frequent complications of casting/splinting are the pressure sores due to technical deficiencies or to loose enforcement. Casts that ends on the proximal phalanx region

¹ BOS – Biological Osteosynthesis
² ORIF – Open Reduction Internal Fixation
or those damaged by the patients can act as a tourniquet for the fingers causing swelling (Figure 1), at first, followed by ischemia and wet gangrene (Denny and Butterworth, 2000).

Most of our patients (84%) presented at least one soft tissue complication after casting/splinting: 64% - minor lesions (superficial sores), 12% - moderate lesions (superficial septic wound), 6% - severe lesions (deep wound to wet gangrene/amputation of the limb) (Figure 2).

Regarding bone tissue healing, the complication we encountered are: nonunion, malunion, angular deformity, hypertrophic callus, failure of reduction and/or mantining the reduction of fracture (Figure 3).

Figure 1. Swelling of the toes due to improper splinting in an 1 year old Romanian Mioritic Shepard.

A 2011 published research regarding the complication of casting/splinting showed that 60% of patients showed minor lesions – erythema, swelling, sores, without any sign of infections, 20% presented moderate lesions as superficial septic wound requiring specific treatment and 20% of patients had a severe alteration of general state, fever, lameness, skin necrosis, gangrene (Meeson et al., 2011). During the past three decades, internal fixation has become increasingly popular for fracture management and limb reconstruction.

As a result, during their training, orthopaedic surgeons receive less formal instruction in the art of extremity immobilization and cast application and removal (Halanski and Noonan, 2008). In this regard, we bring in discussion a method of internal fixation which retains all the advantages of casting/splinting but also of rigid internal fixation, overcoming most difficulties, namely, the minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis.

CONCLUSIONS

20% of cases presented in our clinic required an orthopedic treatment, 9% being diagnosed with fractures. Most (84%) patients that underwent a casting/splinting procedure suffered a soft tissue complications even minor. Regarding bone tissue healing, the complication we encountered are: nonunion, malunion, angular deformity, hypertrophic callus, failure of reduction and/or maintaining the reduction of fracture.

MIPO retains all the advantages of casting/splinting but also of rigid internal fixation, overcoming most dezadvantages.
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